

MINUTES OF MEETING CABINET HELD ON TUESDAY, 16TH JUNE, 2020, 6.30 - 9.25 PM

PRESENT:

Councillors: Joseph Ejiofor (Chair), Charles Adje, Kaushika Amin, Mark Blake, Gideon Bull, Seema Chandwani, Kirsten Hearn, Emine Ibrahim and Sarah James

ALSO ATTENDING: Cllr das Neves, Cllr Jogee, Cllr Culverwell, Cllr Palmer, Cllr Dennison and Cllr Connor.

225. FILMING AT MEETINGS

The Leader referred to the notice of filming at meetings as set out in item 1 and advised that the meeting was being streamed live on the Council's website.

226. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies for absence.

227. URGENT BUSINESS

The Leader advised that there was no new additional agenda items but there was an addendum put forward for consideration with item 9, financial implications of Covid 19. This contained additional recommendations relating to the home care sector to consider. The Leader proposed to Cabinet accepting consideration of this addendum as it formed part of the deliberations on the Financial Impact of Covid-19: update report. The Cabinet continued to agree this addendum for consideration with item 9.

228. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Seema Chandwani, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods declared an interest in respect of item 10 as she worked for a voluntary organisation in the Borough.

The Leader declared an interest in respect of item 12 as he rented a garage in Fortis Green, an area covered by the Council Housing Delivery Programme.

229. NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CONDUCT BUSINESS IN PRIVATE, ANY REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED AND THE RESPONSE TO ANY SUCH REPRESENTATIONS

None.

230. MINUTES

RESOLVED

To approve the minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on 10 March 2020.

231. MATTERS REFERRED TO CABINET BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

None.

232. DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS/QUESTIONS

None.

233. VARIATION OF AGENDA ORDER

The Leader varied the order of the agenda to take item 14 before item 9. The minutes follow the order of the meeting.

234. RENEWAL OF THE DYNAMIC PURCHASING SYSTEM (DPS) TO PROCURE AND COMMISSION SEMI INDEPENDENT, SUPPORTED LIVING AND HOMECARE

The Cabinet Member for Local Investment and Economic Growth introduced the report which outlined the reasons for the renewal of the Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) procurement sourcing tools for the provision of the Council's Semi Independent, Supported Living and Home Support requirements for a period of two years, with the provision to extend for a further two years until 2024.

The Cabinet Member outlined that the DPS was a key tool in providing local business and SMEs easy access to Council contracts, and would play a large part in community wealth building and economic recovery. Haringey was recognised as a leader in this field, with the largest portfolio of DPS categories in local government, with over £100m p.a. of spend going through them.

In response to questions from Councillor Connor, it was noted that:

- Further information on the number of care workers and their rate of pay, where they were not covered by DPS contracts, was available in the addendum report for agenda item 9.
- The Head of Procurement would confirm in writing whether the terms and conditions of the new arrangements required full sick pay to be paid.

RESOLVED

1. That pursuant to CSO 7.04 a) (Where the Council is considering leading on any joint procurement activities with other contracting authorities that is a Key Decision), CSO 9.04.1 (a Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) may be used to carry out procurements in accordance with Regulation 34 of the Public Contract Regulations) and CSO 9.07.1 e) (The award of any contract valued £500,000 (five hundred thousand pounds) or more is a 'key decision') to renew the DPSs for Home Support, Semi Independent, and Supporting Living with access for other Local Authorities for an initial two (2) year period commencing on 1st July 2020 with an option to extend for a further two years to 30th June 2024.
2. To award a DPS for the following care provision with additional capacity of 75% for LLW and use by other local authorities in the values outlined below:

Care Category	2 – Year initial term £m	Full value over life of the DPS £m
Home Support	£46	£92
Semi Independent Living	£25	£50
Supported Living	£55	£110
Total	£126m	£252m

It was noted: The above values consider the provision for both the Council and other authorities accessing the DPS and does not represent the actual expenditure of the Council in these categories.

Reasons for decision

The Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS) is a supplier e-sourcing tool and a compliant route to market under the Public Contract Regulations, which enables suppliers to enrol, accredit and be approved to bid for Council contracts.

The Council's overall spend for home support, supported living and semi-independent over the past financial year was in the region of £13m, £16m and £7m, respectively. Renewing these DPSs will not incur any additional license fees, as the Council already holds an enterprise license.

The DPS provides a compliant route to market for care provision, which ensures transparency in the procurement process, equal treatment of suppliers and ensures that the requirements of both the Public Contract Regulations 2015 and the Council's Contract Standing Orders (CSO) can be met, particularly as much of care provision is purchased on an individual basis and the Regulations do not provide for hitherto 'spot contracts'.

Purchasing outside of a DPS would require either an extremely resource intensive approach, whereby each requirement would be commissioned separately and would require suppliers to go through the accreditation checks for each opportunity. This would likely prevent suppliers bidding for the services and place the provision of these

services at risk; or we would need to establish a framework, which would likely exclude many local SMEs from meeting the criteria to qualify under a framework. In addition, a framework does not allow for suppliers to join at any time and prevents new start-ups and entrants from accessing Council contracts during the term of the framework.

A DPS is beneficial in that; it enables supply chain expansion as suppliers can join at any time during its lifetime, unlike a traditional framework where only suppliers at inception remain within it until expiry. This means that the supply chain can be renewed and replenished throughout its term, which lends itself to more competition and therefore better value for the Council and its users.

The DPS is an efficient route to market for both the Council and the supply chain; importantly it enables access to Council opportunities for SMEs. A fact borne out by the figures; of the circa £36m of expenditure across these 3 categories, whereby, in the last year 92% was spent with SMEs, 40% of which was in borough. Once accredited, suppliers can apply for multiple contract opportunities and do not need to undertake separate tender processes for services accredited for. This is particularly important, as resource intensive tender processes may duplicate effort and are often barriers to entry for small and medium sized enterprises.

A DPS enables the Council, to undertake time efficient tender processes, which facilitates speed of award and service delivery. We currently undertake around 2,200 individual procurements each year in these categories. The DPS streamlines the Councils procurement/commissioning, contract management and finance processes, which can be undertaken under the one system.

Importantly, renewing the DPS will have minimal impact on the existing supply chain as suppliers will only need to confirm there is no change in their qualification status except for their financial standing, which will be reviewed again. This will provide Commissioners an opportunity to devise any additional questions and amend the category structure to further support emergent commissioning strategies over the next two to four years.

Moreover, awarding these DPSs aligns with agreed procurement & commissioning strategies, including payment of LLW, establishing block contracts, locality- based home support in order to facilitate high quality care delivery, as well as contract and market management; market expansion – all care category markets have expanded since inception; Home Support, Semi Independent and Supported Living by 84%, 37% & 60% respectively. Further expansion is required to fine tune supply in more specialised areas.

Renewing for an initial 2 year period, will give Care Commissioning and Strategic Procurement time to review their commissioning and procurement strategies going forward, factoring in any potential categories that could be insourced, impacted by social distancing and updating category documentation to account for current circumstances, emergent need, or identified gaps in provision e.g. to meet specialisms like positive behaviour provision for high need learning, disabled residents or perhaps provision that may be better delivered through alternative procurement routes or longer-term measures like property acquisition or remodelling to meet need.

Strategic Procurement will support the replenishment of the care supply chain by stimulating the market conducting suitable market engagements to identify, enrol and accredit suitable economic operators or indeed deploying management market tools like: price caps for continued efficient and effective delivery of the Council Semi Independent, Supported Living and Homecare requirements through the renewed process time for commencement on 1st July 2020.

Enabling other authorities to join the DPS in these categories will bring additional benefits:

Suppliers will only need to be accredited once to access all contract opportunities across the authorities, opening up opportunities to local suppliers to provide services outside of Haringey.

This approach will assist in attaining parity of prices across the sectors.

It will potentially provide access to additional suppliers in hard to source sectors. Market intelligence around costs, capability and capacity in the market will be significantly increased.

May provide an opportunity for the Council to generate some income to offset the operating costs of the DPS.

Alternative options considered

Do Nothing - This option would require the Council to seek alternate procurement arrangements incurring significant additional costs and resource effort to facilitate procuring over 2,200 requirements per year, each needing the supplier to re-present accreditation requirements that will then need to be evaluated.

Establish a framework for semi-independent, supporting living and home care – this option was discounted in preference to the use of a DPS for commissioning these services. This is primarily due to the restrictions applied to the duration of a framework and the limitation of suppliers only being able to be admitted at the point of establishment of the framework. In comparison to a framework, a DPS enables an unlimited number of suppliers to join at any time; provided they meet the accreditation and enrolment criteria. The call off process from a framework is much more administratively intensive than that of a DPS.

235. UPDATE ON FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF COVID-19

The Cabinet Member for Finance and Strategic Regeneration introduced the report which provided an update on the current estimated financial impact of Covid-19 and built on the 6 April 2020 Leader's Signing report. The report set out further Government announcements, confirmation of agreed allocations of Government funding and recommended further allocations to be made.

The Cabinet Member noted that whilst it was expected that further funding would be forthcoming to balance additional expenditure, there would be difficult financial decisions to be made in the event that this funding was not forthcoming.

The Cabinet Member further referred to the tabled addendum report which clarified the financial implications for the Council of continued financial support for the home care sector as the transition to the new DPS arrangements, which incorporate the payment of LLW, take effect.

The addendum report provided a route for ensuring that care workers continue to be paid at rates which are commensurate with the valued work which they do for Haringey residents and supports implementation of the LLW for the care sector. This was at a quicker pace than previously envisaged and in a sustainable way despite the specific challenges of the Covid-19 pandemic for the care sector. The additional recommendations outlined at section 4.1.1 to 4.1.3 gave effect to this.

In response to questions from Councillors Dennison, Connor and Palmer, it was noted that:

- The funding allocation for the Discretionary Grant Funding scheme was 5% of projected spend for the initial two grant schemes. The baseline funding allocation for Haringey was £3.153m.
- Relevant information on expenditure on the Reopening High Streets Safety Fund would be provided to Councillor Dennison.
- Noted that local authorities will be subject to a series of impacts based on expenditure and loss of income experience which was a similar financial position to the national level. The report indicated that the Council was on track to receive around a third of the required funding needed to support the General Fund and this was in trend with other local authorities.
- The table at paragraph 8.6 provided a broad overview of the financial impact so far. This showed the potential effect on income ,expenditure and planned savings, balanced against the received grant funding. Regarding expected grant funding to be received, while was a matter of interpretation, it would be reasonable to conclude that the Council's government towards Covid 19 budget pressures to date was in proportion to the national position reported by the LGA .
- Details on the Care Home Support Plan was available on the Council's website – <https://www.haringey.gov.uk/social-care-and-health/covid-19-information-and-guidance-health-and-social-care-professionals>
- The addendum outlined a series of recommendations, one of which was a continuation of a £2 uplift in hourly pay rate for home care workers from April-June. This uplift brought the hourly pay rate in line with the London Living Wage.
- The £1.4m income loss and £8.2m impact on the Housing Revenue Account would not be written off as it was expected, at this point in time, to be covered by government funding.

- Any rent deferrals would be reviewed and tenants would be expected to pay any monies due.

Further to considering the main report and addendum report with the additional recommendations, it was:

RESOLVED

1. To note the updated financial implications of the Covid-19 crisis.
2. To note the receipt of the second tranche of Covid-19 Government emergency response grant totalling £7.37m.
3. To approve that this grant funding be added to the Emergency Response Contingency in the Council's General Fund (GF) budget, and that the authorisation process for its application be subject to approval by the Council's Finance Director (Section 151 Officer) unless above delegated limits (Section 7.2)
4. To note the extent of the estimated Covid-19 related financial pressure and the on-going assumption that further grant support will be provided by Government to meet this overspend.
5. To note that the Quarter 1 Budget report will provide an update on the impact of Covid-19 on the Council's currently approved 2020/21 budgets and implications for the longer term MTFS.
6. To approve an allocation of a further £2m from the Emergency Response Contingency (GF) to cover the on-going Homelessness demand pending the identification of longer-term solutions as set out in Section 9.4.
7. To approve allocation of £0.355m from the Emergency Response Contingency (GF) to cover the 5% uplift to the Care Sector for the period 1 April – 31 May 2020 as set out in Section 9.4.
8. To approve expenditure on emergency PPE this financial year of up to a maximum of £1m, to be funded from the Emergency Response Contingency (GF) as set out in Section 9.4.
9. To approve an allocation of £0.393m from the Emergency Response Contingency (GF) for the direct purchase of emergency food supplies by the Council for the period to mid-July 2020, with a further allocation of £100k to fund longer term support as set out in Section 9.4.

10. To approve £0.5m of additional revenue grant funding from the Emergency Response Contingency (GF) to APPCT in this year and also to note the deferral of all loan repayments by the APPCT totalling £0.414m due for 2020/21 as set out in Section 9.4.
11. To note that the Council is investing £0.030m from the Emergency Response Contingency (GF) in making an extra 82 lamp posts suitable for use as locations to place banners in high-footfall locations to help the dissemination of messaging about Covid-19 related actions.
12. To approve the continuation of the general approach to income collection, where appropriate, as approved in the 6 April 2020 report and approve that this be re-considered as part of the Quarter 1 report to Cabinet on 15 Sept 2020 (Section 9.3).
13. To approve the continued payment of the £2 per hour uplift to all home care workers for all hours of home care delivered in Haringey for the period from 1st July 2020 until 31st July 2020.
14. To approve the continued payment of the £2 per hour uplift to home care workers for those hours of home care delivered in Haringey which are not subject to the LLW requirements from 1st August 2020 until the end of October 2020.
15. To note that a care package review will be undertaken on all DPS care packages which are not otherwise subject to the terms and conditions on the new DPS before the end of October, reflecting the potential changing requirements caused by the Covid-19 environment and its implications, which would incorporate the payment of LLW on all such packages.

Reason for Decision

The coronavirus (COVID-19) is having a significant adverse effect on the economy and public finances, reducing demand and supply in the short and medium term, presenting individuals, businesses and organisations with unprecedented challenges. The long-term impact is unknown and will be dependent on factors including the extent of lasting damage to the economy's supply capacity.

COVID-19 affects everything local authorities do – as community leaders, public health authorities, education authorities, employers, partners and service deliverers. The Leader, Cabinet and its officers continue to need to focus on responding to the crisis while ensuring normal critical services are provided. The Council has in place a number of key officer groups that meet frequently to evaluate and respond to the latest COVID-19 developments. The proposals within this report address their implications.

Alternative Options Considered

This is a national emergency and the Council must ensure that it is in the best possible place to continue to provide services, particularly in relation to support to the vulnerable, address public health issues, and act as an agent for the Government to ensure that funding and support gets to individuals and businesses in the most expedient fashion.

236. COVID-19: SUPPORTING THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF THE VOLUNTARY AND COMMUNITY SECTOR

Clerks note: Councillor Seema Chandwani, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods left the meeting for the duration of the item.

The Cabinet Member for Communities and Equalities introduced the report which set out the impact of lockdown on the viability of voluntary and community organisations in Haringey. It also set out a draft package of support to the Voluntary and Community Sector (VCS).

The Cabinet Member thanked the Voluntary Sector in Haringey for their incredible efforts in supporting communities in this health crisis and noted their support in critical areas such as food distribution.

The Cabinet Member, referred to the previous agenda item report on the Council's finances and reflected on the difficult funding pressures the borough were facing going forward. He expressed that it was regrettable that government were not providing the voluntary sector with the full funding support that it needed.

The package of Voluntary Sector support, put forward in the attached report, was felt to be fair in this context of uncertainty. He referred to section 4 of the report which outlined the Council's commitment to £500k of funding to the voluntary and community sector. This was through establishing two hardship funds to access, one directed to supporting voluntary organisations in operating costs. The second fund was focused on critical support to voluntary organisations with additional value to the operations of the Council.

The Council's role as a provider of last resort to support voluntary organisations was highlighted and Officers and Councillors would also engage with the sector on how the final scheme would be framed.

The Cabinet Member drew the Cabinet's attention to paragraph 8.47, which outlined the long proud tradition of the voluntary sector in supporting a diverse borough. The Cabinet Member expressed that Covid-19 had highlighted glaring levels of inequalities faced across the borough and it was hoped that the recommendations in the report would work to enable organisations to support residents facing inequality and to continue in the delivery of vital services across Haringey.

In response to questions from Councillors Dennison, das Neves and Culverwell, it was noted that:

- The Assistant Director for Commissioning would provide a response in writing to Cllr Dennison on the number of voluntary sector organisations that had applied to the business grants funding. In addition the Assistant Director would provide information on organisations that were eligible to access the hardship fund.
- The £100k referred to in paragraph 8.1.1 would cover an extension in rent holidays from three to six months. The Council would not pursue organisations to recover the money but would review all rent holidays after six months.
- A member briefing would be provided on the final details of the scheme with a time frame for implementation.
- Providers delivering benefit advice were envisaged to be a critical focus for providing support. In addition to making this funding available to the main advice providers in the borough, the Council would look into stimulating other providers in the advice space to access funding. This could include working with smaller organisations and encouraging them to compile consortiums to access funding.
- The aim of the scheme would be to support organisations carrying out frontline delivery in the borough to continue to do so. Financial sustainability of organisations was the key issue that Council would keep in mind when providing this funding.
- Noted the role of the council as a provider of last resort. This included flexibility to maintain diversity of the sector.
- Black Lives Matter was an issue that the Council was taking very seriously and statements made on this on website by the Cabinet Member and Leader. The Council were taking forward its influencing role with the Police. A meeting had been held with key VCS organisations and the Cabinet Member as the joint-Chair of the Community Safety Partnership had discussed this issue at a recent CSP meeting. The Cabinet Member was further keen to carry out joint work with the Health and Wellbeing Board to address the issues of inequality.
- The Chief Executive reiterated that addressing the issue of the impact of Covid 19 on BAME communities was very much part of Public Health's team focus in Haringey and they were also part of a London network completing pieces of work on this area.
- The Chief Executive highlighted that Public Health for England, within the last two days, had published a report on understanding the impact of Covid 19 on BAME groups. This had been compiled following 7000 interviews and consideration of published evidence on the impact of Covid 19. The link to this information was as follows:
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-understanding-the-impact-on-bame-communities>

- The Chief Executive provided assurance that the Council would carry on having conversations on black lives matter with Councillors and partners.

RESOLVED

1. To agree support access to external funding including central government schemes where appropriate, working with the Bridge Renewal Trust as the Council's Strategic Partner.
2. To agree the principles set out in section 6.2 as a framework for the scheme
3. To create a £250k VCS Hardship (Operating Costs) Fund for rapid allocation to the sector in line with the principles agreed in 3.1.2 above
4. To create a £250k VCS Hardship (Critical Support for those of Additional Value) Fund for rapid allocation to the sector in line with the principles agreed in 3.1.2 above
5. To extend the current 3 month rent holiday for organisations in Council buildings to 6 months at an estimated cost of £100,000
6. To agree an allocation of £600k from the Council's Emergency Response Contingency (general fund) to fund these proposals
7. To develop an in-kind support offer to the sector, working with partners
8. To facilitate increasing collaboration between organisations in the sector, working with the Bridge Renewal Trust as the Council's Strategic Partner.
9. To delegate authority to the Director of Finance to establish and implement the detail of the scheme in line with the principles and in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Communities and Equalities.

Reasons for decision

The set of proposals being put forward here will support the Council, working with the sector, to respond quickly to the impact of the lockdown on the viability of voluntary and community organisations in Haringey. The lockdown had and continues to have an immediate and fundamental impact on the ability of the sector to operate effectively, to raise income and to continue to offer the broad range of services local residents need. It is the view of the Council that without an early offer of support to the sector as set out here, the impact will be more severe with a likely impact on increasing demand for wider Council services. However, notwithstanding this support, it is noted that a number of local organisations will remain at risk of closure.

Some community organisations in the borough will be eligible to apply external funding, both to support infrastructure costs and to meet service delivery costs, during the pandemic, including through central government business support schemes. The Council is keen that organisations are able to benefit from such funding and working with the Bridge Renewal Trust through a joint team, will therefore offer practical and individual support the sector to access external funds.

The Council recognises the challenges of delivering an equitable scheme when funds are limited and demand is high, and has therefore proposed the set of principles set out at 6.2 to underpin the details of the approach.

The Council proposes two separate funds to meet the financial pressures facing the sector – the first, the VCS Hardship (Operating Costs) Fund, is designed to meet unavoidable running and infrastructure costs which have arisen during the pandemic, the second, the VCS Hardship (Critical Support for those of Additional Value) Fund, is designed to address the risk that organisations of value to the borough may not be able to withstand the impact of the pandemic without additional financial aid. Each scheme will operate within the principles to be agreed at 6.2.

The Council has recognised the benefits of offering a rent holiday for three months to voluntary and community organisations in Council buildings and will extend this to 6 months to further ease the financial pressure of community organisations and in light of the continued constraints of the lockdown and subsequent restrictions on activity.

The Council recognises the need to ensure that this funding is available in the immediate term and will vire money from its Emergency Response Contingency Fund to enable this package to be put in place in a timely fashion.

The backdrop to this set of proposals is increasing collaboration between the Council and the sector and between organisations within the sector throughout the period of the pandemic. This support package builds on the reality of this collaboration and the fact that the most welcome and beneficial support is not always financial but may involve the sharing of other skills and assets.

Given the time frames required to ensure this scheme can be established to respond quickly to need, the full detail of the scheme is being worked up by officers, within the framework set out here and to achieve alignment with other business support schemes both in operation and being developed. The Director of Finance working with the Cabinet Member for Communities and Equalities will approve the final detail of the scheme.

Alternative options considered

The Council could agree not to set aside a budget to meet the unavoidable infrastructure and running costs of the local voluntary and community sector, incurred during the Covid-19 lockdown. It is anticipated that this, however, would have a severe impact on the VCS in the borough, which is critical to supporting vulnerable residents not only during the pandemic but at all times. This would be likely to lead to increased demand for Council services, which would turn out to be more costly for the Council in both the immediate and longer term.

The Council could agree to set aside a smaller budget to meet the unavoidable infrastructure and running costs of the local voluntary and community sector. This option was considered but discarded on the grounds that the Council would in any event need to respond to the increased levels of need in the community should voluntary and community organisations need to close and that this would bear a cost, potentially considerable, to the Council.

The Council could agree a different approach to seeking to meet the unavoidable infrastructure and running costs of the sector, incurred during the Covid-19 lockdown. Such approaches were considered but rejected on the grounds that they would not provide an equitable basis for responding to need and might adversely impact some sectors of the community.

237. SEND TRANSPORT TRANSFORMATION PLAN UPDATE

Clerk's Note -Cllr Chandwani, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods re-joined the meeting.

The Cabinet Member for Children, Education and Families introduced the report which set out the response to the resolutions made at the Cabinet meeting on 10 December 2019.

The Cabinet Member advised that, following listening to the views of parent's and carers of children with disabilities, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee, and Councillors, the report was proposing not continuing with the procurement of a transformation partner for the SEND service. In addition, the required period for progressing the contract had expired and the report detailed this.

The Cabinet Member advised that, instead the Council would be supporting development of the SEND service through a change programme. This would be focused on improving the service to accommodate disabled children's different needs. Steps had already been taken to include buddies on transport provision and the Council had enlisted an experienced external organisation for consulting with parents and carers on development of the service going forward. The Cabinet Member was absolutely clear that the best advice, on the service provision going forward, would be from parents and carers.

In response to questions from Councillor Palmer, it was noted that:

- The Cabinet Member's aim was working directly with parents and ensuring disabled children can get to school in a happy and contented way and encouraging parents to come forward and help shape the service.
- The service was starting to meet with parents and carers, and exploring options to reach out to all stakeholders. Parents and carers had completed a survey in Feb 2020 which had provided a clear indication of issues experienced. In immediate response to the feedback, the application process for transport had been updated. Also the SEND

policy, which had been compiled in 2018, would be looked at. There were reports being compiled on this and Cllr Palmer requested a copy.

- It was acknowledged that co-production had many components to get right and assurance was given that focus would be ensuring all users of the service were reached. Activities would be taken forward with parents in an active collaborative way and the service would be listening to parents to help shape the service going forward.
- The Cabinet Member was meeting with parents and carers this week and there was engagement and stakeholder group meeting planned for the 24th of June.
- The procurement window for decision making on a transformation partner had now ended and to restart this would mean a much later decision at the end of the year. This was too late for meeting the forthcoming transport needs of families. Instead the service were focusing on providing reliable consistent transport for September.
- Officer time spent on preparing for working with an external partner had not been specifically logged. However, the type of activities that were taken forward were business as usual tasks focused on co -production for transport provision. There would not be any value in undertaking an analysis on the resources undertaken on the procurement as to complete the task itself would have a resource implication.

RESOLVED

Not to proceed with the award of contract for the provision of SEND Transport Transformation Consultancy Services by an external improvement partner and that this procurement process be abandoned.

Reasons for decision

Due to the length of time since the tender was completed caused by the call in of the decision, the baseline for the award has significantly changed such that a further scoping review would be necessary to inform any future decision on contract award.

The report presented to Cabinet on 12th November 2019 was based upon the recommendations detailed within a scoping review that was undertaken in April / May 2019. The findings of that review are now 12 months old, and the financial baseline has significantly changed.

The timeline to undertake a further scoping review and conduct a tendering exercise is such that a further recommendation to award could not realistically be submitted to Cabinet for decision before January 2021.

To ensure that the necessary resources are available to secure SEND Transport provision for the new school year commencing September 2020, the Council is already undertaking a procurement without the services of an improvement partner.

A delay in procurement would risk the availability of transport arrangements for children and young people for the first day of term. The delay would also fetter the ability of the Council to secure best value for money as a consequence of coming late

to the market where prospective providers may have already secured contracts elsewhere.

The procurement exercise for the September 2020 term will necessitate a review of the exact routes that will be required, as a consequence of new cohorts of children becoming eligible for SEND Transport and young people who because of their age will no longer require school transport.

The procurement exercise and updated route mapping may change the supplier profile and associated transport costs, and as a consequence impact the financial baseline upon which prospective providers submit their tenders.

Overall the changes in the financial baseline and the circumstances relating the service is such that it is no longer in the public interest to proceed with the award.

Alternative options considered

Award of contract in line with the recommendation to Cabinet on 12 November 2019. This option was rejected because:

- The tender has expired, and a new tender process would be required.
- The procurement of SEND Transport routes has commenced, which will change the supplier profile and associated transport costs; and
- A further scoping review would be required to re-baseline any prospective SEND transport tender award.

No other options are being considered.

Response to Due Diligence enquires in regard to the expected service improvements by the proposed transformation partner

The recommendation to award the contract to the nominated SEND Transport transformation partner within the Cabinet Report of 12th November and subsequent response to Call-In presented to Cabinet on 10th December, was based upon their proven experience in regard to SEND Transport, as evidenced within the Part B report.

The Part B report detailed eight local authorities where the proposed transformation partner had been commissioned to undertake SEND Transport transformation, including a summary of savings identified at review and respective savings delivered. The report also provided further detail in regard to transformation outcomes at two London boroughs.

Following consideration of the report and recommendations from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee, representations from the Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny, Councillors, the deputation from Mrs Marta Garcia de la Vega and the responses by Officers and the Lead Cabinet Member to the issues raised, and on review of its original decision, Cabinet resolved that Officers make further due diligence enquiries in regard to the expected service improvements by the proposed transformation partner.

To address specific issues raised, Officers contacted a key Local Authority identified within the exempt session of the Cabinet meeting to request the necessary assurance but were informed that such assurance would not be publicly available until around June 2020.

As a consequence of this delay, it was agreed by the Director of Children's Services that the re-procurement of all routes would be undertaken to ensure availability of transport at the start of the autumn term and avoid the difficulties faced in autumn 2019 when there was a shortage of available transport.

The outcome of the re-procurement of the routes, including a cost benefit and transport availability assessment cannot be undertaken until July 2020. The results of the assessment will provide supporting evidence for baseline reassessment of transport provision and the planned co-production of the SEND transport policy.

Options for SEND Transport Service Transformation

Subject to the Cabinet agreement to the recommendation not to proceed with the award of contract for the provision of SEND Transport Transformation Consultancy Services by an external improvement partner, then a SEND Transport plan will be developed to address the areas for improvement as identified in the scoping review of April/May 2019.

The SEND Transport plan will be consulted upon with all SEND parents and they will be part of the formulation of the final plan.

Recommendations from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are subject to further development by Children's Services and will be responded to Scrutiny at a future date.

238. COUNCIL HOUSING DELIVERY PROGRAMME

Clerks note -The Leader left the meeting following his earlier declaration of interest and Cllr Chandwani, Deputy Leader chaired the meeting for this item.

The Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Renewal, introduced the report which provided an update on the Council's progress towards the Borough Plan target to deliver 1,000 Council homes for Council rent by 2022 and noted progress on providing homes for homeless families through the Haringey Community Benefit Society (CBS). The report further set out an initial assessment of the likely impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the housing delivery programme, including on its viability.

Cabinet were asked to add seven new sites of Council land - including land at Kerswell Close - to the housing delivery programme, and to remove the Roundway site from the programme.

Following questions from Cllr Jogee, Cllr Connor and Cllr das Neves the following information was provided by the Cabinet Member and the Interim Assistant Director for Housing.

- With regards to concerns raised on the inclusion of Stokley court as a potentially suitable site on which to build new Council homes, the Cabinet Member provided assurances that there were no current plans to demolish any homes and no decisions had been made for the site. This Cabinet decision was the first stage of consideration in a defined process of engagement and consultation. Stokley court was previously approved for potential development by Cabinet on 9 July 2019. However, that approval was for a small infill scheme on the adjacent car park. Investigations of the site had identified the potential for a larger scheme, and the report was seeking Cabinet approval of investigation of that wider site.
- The designation of Stokley court as a “Good Neighbour Scheme” means that the current building is not meeting tenants’ access needs. This block was part of a review by Homes for Haringey of its Sheltered Housing and Good Neighbour Schemes and was identified as needing considerable refurbishment. The Cabinet Member expressed, that there was a need for a broader approach to understand the potential of a bigger scheme for the site and increasing the housing currently available. The Council were being open and transparent in their consideration of this site and wanting to engage with Councillors and residents. If following this engagement and consultation there were valid objections, or if investigations demonstrated that it was not appropriate to take forward this site in the housing delivery programme, then the scheme would not proceed.
- Responding to the concerns on the uncertainty and anxiety that this engagement period of 9 months may create for residents in this scheme, the Cabinet Member gave assurance that residents would not be obligated to move from this site if they did not want to. The Cabinet Member was happy to write to residents to give them this assurance as well as Chettle Ct residents who had expressed similar concerns.
- The interim Assistant Director for Housing added, that the Homes for Haringey review of sheltered housing showed that the housing in Stokley court was in poor condition and would need to be retrofitted in a few years’ time. The Council would make sure that officers spoke individually with residents in the block, when consulting, to understand their needs and vulnerabilities. There would be a long period of consultation to accommodate effective engagement with residents, in the context of the social distancing rules and to make sure the Council were having good access to the resident’s views.
- The current health crisis would mean that the aim of delivering 1000 homes was likely to be put back by at least 6 months. A majority of the completions were due to be completed in the last 6 months of administration. However, this delay needed to be considered in the context of 40 years of hardly any new homes being built. The Cabinet Member highlighted the additional challenges and issues faced to meeting this objective. This included identifying sites, enlisting expertise, and the unexpected need for demolition of the Broadwater Farm blocks decided at Cabinet in July 2018. The Council were aiming to complete the 1000 homes soon after 2022. It was envisaged that there would potentially be a higher number of starts on building homes towards the end of

this administration with completions into the next administration, reaching over 1000 homes.

- In relation to the Walteof Gardens there was no definitive number as yet on the number of homes that could potentially be added. A feasibility study was being completed which would provide this information and would be sent to Cllr Connor when available.
- With regards to concerns raised about the inclusion of Chettle court as a housing site in the delivery programme, this would not mean existing homes being demolished but is simply considering potential for adding new homes to the site. As with all schemes there would be engagement and consultation with residents and if objections that outweighed the benefits were received, the plans would not continue. The Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Renewal would write to residents to provide assurance.
- Responding to a question on the Council's learning experience of consultation and engagement with tenants and leaseholder living in buildings, where there were proposed plans for changes, the Cabinet Member referred to the consultations undertaken with residents at Reynardson Court. Also the recent changes to the Cranwood site housing provision was referred to. The concerns of residents had been taken account of and plans re-adjusted to avoid the demolition of 8 homes.
- The reason for including Stokley Court was not Covid 19 related and this was a previous block identified for improvement.
- The Cabinet Member was clear that the attached report was putting forward a consultation decision as it is important to engage with residents early, on potential housing plans. There were no decisions being taken at this meeting to proceed with these sites. These were decisions to start a conversation.
- With regards to the suitability of including Chettle Court in plans given its location on a steep hill, the architects commissioned by Homes for Haringey considered that it was feasible to have housing added to the site, which will now be tested. If concerns/ objections were raised on the suitability of the site by residents or any other issues raised, then these would be fully considered.
- The YMCA development was put forward by the organisation themselves for the Council to work with them on. This included re-provision of the YMCA.

RESOLVED

1. To note the progress made to date on delivering new Council homes for Council rent, including in relation to the interim targets set for May 2020, as set out in paragraphs 6.1 to 6.12.
2. To note the potential impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the housing delivery programme, as set out in paragraphs 6.23 to 6.37.

3. To also note the related progress on providing homes for homeless families by the Haringey Community Benefit Society, as set out in paragraphs 6.21 and 6.22.
4. To agree to add seven new sites of Council-owned land, listed at 6.13 and shown at Appendix 1, to the housing delivery programme in order that their feasibility and capacity for the delivery of new Council homes can be determined.
5. To note that following the successful defence of the Planning Authority's decision, these new sites include the Kerswell Close site, which had previously been agreed for disposal to Pocket Living.
6. To agree to delegate authority to the Director of Housing, Regeneration and Planning, in consultation with Director of Finance, to approve the costs of progressing these sites to Planning Application, except where the costs on any individual site exceed the existing delegated authority of the Director.
7. To agree to remove the Roundway site from the housing delivery programme in order that it can be developed as a children's home.

Reasons for decisions

The Borough Plan commits to delivering 1,000 Council homes at Council rents by 2022 as the first step in a new era of Council homebuilding.

Adding new sites to the programme ensures that the Council is building up a pipeline of new sites to deliver a long-term sustainable housing programme.

However, it has been determined that the Roundway site, currently in the housing delivery programme, would be more suitable for the delivery of a children's home, so it is proposed that this is now removed from the programme.

Alternative options considered

Not to add new sites to the programme. This option was rejected because new sites on which to build homes are necessary to deliver a new era of Council homebuilding.

Not to switch Roundway from the housing delivery programme to the programme. This option was rejected because the site is much more suitable for the development of a children's home than for Council homes; and because there is an urgent need for local children to be placed in the borough.

Not to delegate authority to approve the costs of progressing sites to Planning. This option was rejected because the programme would be unworkable if Cabinet had to directly sign off the pre-planning budget for every individual site.

239. SELECTIVE PROPERTY LICENSING - REQUEST TO UNDERTAKE CONSULTATION

Clerk's note – The Leader re – joined the meeting and chaired the remainder of the agenda items.

The Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Renewal introduced the report which outlined the proposed landlord licensing scheme, containing the regulatory framework to support the many good landlords in Haringey, whilst also providing the powers for officers to tackle the rogue landlords who do not comply. The scheme will also enable the Council to know who owns and manages these properties through the issuing of licenses to operate.

This report further sought a decision from Cabinet to carry out statutory public consultation exercise in relation to the designation of two areas within the borough, as set out in paragraphs 1.6 and 1.7 of the attached report which will be subject to Selective Property Licensing.

In response to questions from Councillor Connor, the following was noted:

- It was not currently possible to have a borough wide scheme with all wards included. The external advice provided, in the early stages of progressing this policy, was that the government criteria for a borough wide scheme was unlikely to be met. In relation to deciding the wards areas which would include the license, it was noted that deprivation indices had been included in the proposed selective scheme proposal. There were also still identified existing tools within enforcement programme to deal with private properties located outside the scheme.
- If the consultation result indicated a need to extend the scheme then this could still be considered post consultation. The final decision maker on the scheme was the secretary of state as outlined in the report.
- With regards to the potential of the £600 fee being passed on from landlords to tenants, this was not considered to be a significant issue. If this situation were to occur, it was unlikely to be a much higher additional cost as the £600 fee was paid every 5 years. Also the availability of a license would be providing the tenant with the assurance of good accommodation which is well managed.
- The Housing Improvement Team had compiled significant data on the private rented sector, working with an experienced external company and would be able to use this information to contact landlords that may not have accessed a license.

RESOLVED

1. To authorise the Director for Environment and Neighbourhoods, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Renewal, to finalise and commence (when appropriate – see 1.4) statutory consultation on a proposal to designate two areas within the borough for selective licensing as described at 6.4 and Appendices 1 & 2 together with:

- The proposed fees and charges in Appendix 5
 - The proposed Standard Licensing Conditions in Appendix 4.
2. To note that following the consultation a further report will be presented to cabinet for final decision before making, a referral to Secretary of State for approval.

Reasons for decision

The private housing market is a growing sector which is reflected within Haringey. Recent local research has identified that the Private Rented Sector (PRS) in Haringey has grown by 36% since the census in 2011. This market offers a housing need that Haringey values and wants to see as strong, healthy and vibrant. Our aim is for a better private rented sector that offers security, stability and decency for its tenants.

We wish to ensure that the sector is encouraged to meet, in a professional way and with decent quality accommodation, the demands placed upon it by the wide range of tenants that it services.

As outlined in paragraphs 6.6, the impact of increased private renting in Haringey, has been significant. Anti-social behaviour, environmental crime, poor property condition and transient tenancies are outcomes which relate to a lack of property and tenant management. The result of this is a high demand on certain services within the Council.

Selective licensing would allow the local authority to regulate landlords to manage this sector more effectively. There are a number of factors through which selective licensing helps to achieve effective change:

- It focuses resources on areas of concern whilst simultaneously generating revenue to contribute to the costs involved.
- It provides clearly defined offences (licensed/unlicensed) which simplifies enforcement - and where a landlord is intentionally operating without a licence it is highly likely the inspection process will uncover further offences.
- There is no 24-hour notice requirement for access before an inspection for licensing purposes. This is particularly important where criminal ('rogue') landlords are present.
- The proactive inspection approach frequently brings other problems to light.
- Licensing provides a clear driver for effective engagement between landlords and local authorities and drives up landlord awareness of their responsibilities.
- The pre-designation process focuses local authority minds on the development of clear, transparent and robust enforcement practices.

- Selective licensing encourages the development of effective intelligence gathering mechanisms to support compliance by identifying unlicensed properties and then targeting those problematic properties.
- Promotion of joint working within the authority and other agencies - fire and rescue service, police, border control/immigration, social services, HMRC etc.;
- Selective Licensing applications require local authorities to first demonstrate the evidence for their concerns as well as look at alternative approaches and consult widely.

New data analysis on our private rented sector has been undertaken using property intelligence held by the Council and other agencies to profile our private housing sector. This has enabled us to further demonstrate the evidence which supports this intelligence. It also allowed us to consider the alternative options available and the outcome of using these within our current policy and procedures. A detailed report on this can be found in Appendix 3 (Evidence Base). A summary of the main evidence is detailed in paragraph 6.6.

The evidence supports our view that poor property conditions and anti-social behaviour are affecting the borough. Linked with the impact of deprivation as identified by the Government's Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2019 outcomes, we believe the data justifies a selective licensing scheme in the proposed designations within Haringey. The selective licensing tool can be used not only to improve poor quality accommodation but can also have a positive impact for people living within an area of high density privately rented accommodation. The delivery of positive outcomes against these targets will be the focus of this scheme.

Where the proposed designation covers either 20% of the total geographic area of the authority or 20% of the total privately rented stock (based on census figures), the designation requires approval by the Secretary of State.

Following this consultation exercise a final proposal will require Council Cabinet Approval and a separate report to MCHLG Secretary of State for their final authorisation.

Alternative options considered

Do nothing and continue with existing legal powers -

Existing powers available to the Council are largely reactive with officers responding to tenants' complaints. It is believed that many tenants are reluctant to complain through fear of retaliatory eviction. Although current enforcement activity has been successful in remedying problems in individual dwellings, it is not felt to have raised the standard of private sector dwellings as a whole.

Voluntary Accreditation - Although the voluntary accreditation scheme is helpful in driving up standards, it relies on the willingness of landlords to sign up to it. It is likely therefore that conscientious landlords will continue to support the scheme, but that

poor landlords will remain difficult to identify and will avoid joining the scheme, preferring instead to operate with the minimum regulation.

240. APPROVAL TO EXECUTE OUR RIGHTS TO PROGRESS HOUSING DELIVERY SCHEMES FOR MOUNT VIEW COURT & ROMNEY CLOSE INCLUDING AWARD OF CONTRACT AND APPROPRIATION OF LAND

The Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Renewal introduced the report which sought approval to appoint the recommended contractor, NFC Homes Limited, to complete a new build development of seven Council rented homes: three at Romney Close N17 and four at Mount View Court N8, and to appropriate the land for planning purposes to facilitate the development process.

The Cabinet Member highlighted that this decision was responding to the need for housing with more than 2 bedrooms. There would be three two-bedroom homes, including one that is accessible for wheelchair-users, in Northumberland Park, and four four-bedroom homes in Harringay.

Further to considering exempt information,

RESOLVED

1. To approve the appointment of NFC Homes Limited to undertake the new build works to provide a total of seven Council rented homes at Romney Close and Mount view Court for a total contract sum of £2,144,016 and to approve the client contingency sum set out in the exempt part of the report.
2. To approve the appropriation of the land at the sites of Romney Close and Mount View Court (edged red in the plans attached at Appendix 1) from housing purposes to planning purposes under Section 122 of the Local Government Act 1972 as they are no longer required for the purpose which they are currently held, and for the purpose of carrying out development as set out in paragraphs 6.1 to 6.25 of this report.
3. To approve the use of the Council's powers under Section 203 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 to override easements and other rights of neighbouring properties infringed upon by the Romney Close development, under planning permission Ref: HGY/2020/0183 and by the Mount View development, under planning permission Ref: HGY/2020/0181.
4. To delegate to the Director of Housing, Regeneration and Planning, after consultation with the Director of Finance and the Cabinet Member for Housing and Estate Renewal, authority to make payments of compensation as a result of any infringement arising from the development and the recommendation 3.1.3, within the existing scheme of delegation.

5. To approve the appropriation of the land at the sites of Romney Close and Mount View Court (edged red in the plans attached at Appendix 1) from planning purposes back to housing purposes under Section 19 of the Housing Act 1985, after practical completion of the development on 31 December 2021.

Reasons for decisions

The two sites, Romney Close and Mountview Court, were approved by Cabinet on 9 July 2019 to be included in the Council housing delivery programme. These schemes have subsequently been granted planning consent and are ready to progress to construction. This report therefore marks the third, and final, Member led decision to develop these two sites.

Following a formal tender process, a contractor has been identified to undertake these works.

The appropriation of the two sites for planning purposes is required as it will allow the Council to use the powers contained in section 203 to override easements and other rights of neighbouring properties and will prevent injunctions that could delay or prevent the Council's proposed developments. Section 203 converts the right to seek an injunction into a right to compensation. Both sites will need to be appropriated back from planning purposes to housing purposes on completion of the development to enable the Council to use the land for housing and let seven new Council homes at Council rent.

The new development at Romney Close will also allow the Council to secure the boundary of the site and improve security for new and existing residents, alleviating the anti-social behaviour currently attracted to the site.

This decision is also necessary at this time to support the Council's COVID-19 response, in as much as it will be part of a portfolio of oven-ready schemes that are ready to go onsite as soon as the lockdown rules allow – supporting the recovery of the local and wider economy.

Alternative options considered

It would be possible not to develop these sites for housing purposes. However, this option was rejected as it does not support the Council's commitment to deliver a new generation of Council homes.

This opportunity was procured via a direct appointment from the London Construction Programme (LCP) Major Works 2019 Framework Agreement, the recommended route for a contract of this value. An alternative option would have been to run a competitive tender from the LCP, but this option was rejected due to limited interest from the framework contractors.

The Council could continue with the schemes without appropriating the site for planning purposes, but this would risk the proposed developments being delayed or stopped by potential third party claims. By utilising the powers under Section 203 of

the Housing and Planning Act 2016 (HPA 2016), those who benefit from third party rights will not be able to seek an injunction since those rights or easements that are overridden are converted into a claim for compensation only. The Council recognises the potential rights of third parties and will pay compensation where a legal basis for such payments is established. The housing delivery team actively engaged with local residents about the development of these sites as they proceeded through the feasibility and design stages and any comments or objections raised were taken into consideration by Planning Committee in reaching its decision. For these reasons, this option was rejected.

The Council could decide not to appropriate the land at both sites for housing purposes upon practical completion of the building works. This option was rejected because it could prevent the Council from being able to offer up these homes for occupation as social housing thereby not supporting the delivery of much needed affordable homes.

241. APPROVAL TO EXECUTE OUR RIGHTS TO PROGRESS HOUSING DELIVERY SCHEMES FOR HARVEY HOUSE AND FINSBURY ROAD INCLUDING AWARD OF CONTRACT AND APPROPRIATION OF LAND.

The Cabinet Member introduced the report which sought approval to the conversion of two disused Council-owned shops into two new Council homes: a three-bedroom home in Bounds Green and a one-bedroom home in Hornsey. Both would be let on secure Council tenancies at Council rents.

Further to considering exempt information,

RESOLVED

1. To approve the appointment of Cuttle Construction to undertake refurbishment works to provide two Council rented homes at 27 Harvey House and 43 Finsbury Road for a total sum of £390,398.
2. To approve the property known as 27 Harvey House being appropriated from the General Fund to the Housing Revenue Account to enable the conversion into affordable housing to proceed.

Reasons for decision

The two shop conversion sites, 43 Finsbury Road and 27 Harvey House, were approved by Cabinet to be part of the Council housing delivery programme on 7 July 2019. These schemes have subsequently been granted planning consent and are ready to progress to construction. This report therefore marks the third, and final, Member-led decision to develop these two sites.

Following a competitive tender process, a contractor has been identified to undertake these works, which will help the Council make progress in delivering a new generation of Council homes at Council rents.

The property at 27 Harvey House, which is an entirely commercial space, currently sits within the General Fund, following the July 2017 Cabinet decision to appropriate it for General Fund purposes. The scheme has been granted planning consent to convert it into housing and is ready to progress to construction. This property needs to be appropriated back to the HRA as works to convert the premises to housing will be funded through the HRA and on completion the new home will be let at Council rent levels.

This decision is necessary at this time to support the Council's COVID-19 response, in as much as it will be part of a portfolio of schemes that are ready to go onsite as soon as the lockdown rules allow – supporting the recovery of the local and wider economy.

Alternative options considered

Not to convert these properties for housing purposes. This option was rejected as it would not support the Council's commitment to deliver a new generation of Council homes and these premises have no current use for commercial purposes.

To use alternative procurement routes. This option was rejected because there is no viable alternative procurement route for this opportunity: the value is too large to run a competitive tender via the Council HPCS portal, and too small to procure the works via the London Construction programme (LCP) Major Works 2019 Framework Agreement. This therefore leaves the Council's Dynamic Purchasing Scheme (DPS) as the only route to market.

To retain the land at 27 Harvey House within the General Fund. This option was rejected for two reasons. Firstly, because while a budget for this scheme has been made available within the HRA there is no appropriate budgets available in the General Fund to convert the premise. Secondly, Council homes let at Council rents cannot be held in the General Fund.

242. MINUTES OF OTHER BODIES

RESOLVED

To note the minutes of the following bodies:

- Cabinet Member Signing 20 March 2020
- Urgent Decision 6 April 2020
- Urgent Decision 7 April 2020
- Urgent Decision 7 April 2020
- Urgent Decision 30 April 2020
- Urgent Decision 7 May 2020

243. SIGNIFICANT AND DELEGATED ACTIONS

RESOLVED

To note the significant and delegated actions taken by directors in June 2020.

244. NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS

None.

245. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

RESOLVED

That the press and public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting as the remaining items contained exempt information as defined under paragraph 3 and 5 of Part 1 schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

246. APPROVAL TO EXECUTE OUR RIGHTS TO PROGRESS HOUSING DELIVERY SCHEMES FOR MOUNT VIEW COURT & ROMNEY CLOSE INCLUDING AWARD OF CONTRACT AND APPROPRIATION OF LAND

As per item 240.

247. APPROVAL TO EXECUTE OUR RIGHTS TO PROGRESS HOUSING DELIVERY SCHEMES FOR HARVEY HOUSE AND FINSBURY ROAD INCLUDING AWARD OF CONTRACT AND APPROPRIATION OF LAN

As per item 241.

248. EXEMPT MINUTES

RESOLVED

1. To approve the exempt minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on 10 March 2020.
2. To note the exempt minutes of the Urgent decision held on the 30th of April.

249. EXEMPT SIGNIFICANT AND DELEGATED ACTIONS

RESOLVED

To note the exempt significant and delegated actions.

250. NEW ITEMS OF EXEMPT URGENT BUSINESS

None.

CHAIR: Councillor Joseph Ejiofor

Signed by Chair

Date

